Possible threshold controls on sediment grain properties of Peruvian coastal river basins
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Abstract. To determine possible controls on sediment grain properties, 21 coastal rivers located along the entire western Peruvian margin were analysed. This represents one of the largest grain size dataset that has been collected over a large area. Modern gravel beds were sampled along a north–south transect on the western side of the Peruvian Andes where the rivers cross the tip of the mountain range, and at each site the long $a$ axis and the intermediate $b$ axis of about 500 pebbles were measured. Morphometric properties of each drainage basin, sediment and water discharge, together with flow shear stresses, were determined and compared against measured grain properties. Pebble size data show that the values for the $D_{50}$ are nearly constant and range between 2 and 3 cm, while the values of the $D_{96}$ range between 6 and 12 cm. The ratios between the intermediate and the long axis range from 0.67 to 0.74. Linear correlations between all grain size percentiles and water shear stresses, mean basin denudation rates, mean basin slopes and basin sizes are small to non-existent. However, exceptionally large $D_{50}$ values of 4–6 cm were measured for basins situated between 11–12 and 16–17° S latitude where hillslope gradients are steeper than on average or where mean annual stream flows exceed the average values of the western Peruvian streams by a factor of 2. We suggest that the generally uniform grain size pattern has been perturbed where either mean basin slopes or water fluxes exceed threshold conditions.

1 Introduction

The size and shape of gravels bear crucial information about (i) the transport dynamics of mountain rivers (Hjulström, 1935; Shields, 1936; Blissenbach, 1952; Koiter et al., 2013; Whittaker et al., 2007; Duller et al., 2012; Attal et al., 2015), (ii) the mechanisms of sediment supply and provenance (Parker, 1991; Paola et al., 1992a, b; Attal and Lavé, 2006), and (iii) environmental conditions such as uplift and precipitation (Heller and Paola, 1992; Robinson and Slingerland, 1998; Foreman et al., 2012; Allen et al., 2013; Foreman, 2014). The mechanisms by which grain size and shape change from source to sink have often been studied with flume experiments (e.g. McLaren and Bowles, 1985; Lisle et al., 1993) and numerical models (Hoey and Ferguson, 1994). These studies have mainly been directed towards exploring the controls on the downstream reduction in grain size of gravel beds (Schumm and Stevens, 1973; Hoey and Ferguson, 1994; Surian, 2002; Fedele and Paola, 2007; Allen et al., 2016). In addition, it has been proposed that the grain size distribution, particularly of mountainous rivers, mainly depends on (i) tectonic uplift resulting in steepening of the entire landscape (Dadson et al., 2003; Wittmann et al., 2007; Ouimet et al., 2009), (ii) earthquakes and seismicity causing the release of large volumes of landslides (D’Arcy et al., 2017; Litty et al., 2017), and (iv) bedrock lithology in which low erodibility lithologies are sources of larger volumes of material (Korup and Schlunegger, 2009; Allen et al., 2015). Accordingly, the sediment caliber in these rivers could reflect the nature of erosional processes in the headwaters and conditions thereof (such as lithology, slope...
angles, seismicity releasing landslides), which then correspond to supply-limited conditions. Alternatively, if enough material is supplied to the streams, then the grain size pattern mainly depends on the run-off and related shear stresses in these rivers, which in turn correspond to transport-limited conditions.

The western margin of the Peruvian Andes represents a prime example of where these mechanisms and related controls on the grain size distribution of river sediments can be explored. In particular, this mountain belt has experienced intense and frequent earthquakes (Nocquet et al., 2014) in response to subduction of the oceanic Nazca plate beneath the continental South American plate at least since late Jurassic times (Isacks, 1988). Therefore, it is not surprising that erosion and the transfer of material from the hillslopes to the rivers has been considered to strongly depend on the occurrence of earthquakes (McPhilips et al., 2014). Conversely, it has also been proposed that denudation in this part of the Andes is controlled by distinct precipitation rate gradients. These inferences have been made based on concentrations of in situ cosmogenic $^{10}$Be measured in river-borne quartz (Abbühl et al., 2011; Carretier et al., 2015; Reber et al., 2017) and on morphometric analyses of the western Andean landscape (Montgomery et al., 2001). Accordingly, erosion along the western Peruvian Andes has been related to either the occurrence of earthquakes and thus tectonic processes (McPhilips et al., 2014) or rainfall rates (Abbühl et al., 2011; Carretier et al., 2015) and to the stream’s mean annual run-off and thus climatic processes (Reber et al., 2017). Therefore, we hypothesize that hillslope erosion paired with the stream run-off is likely to have a measurable impact on the grain size pattern in the Peruvian streams.

Here we present data on sediment grain properties from rivers situated on the western margin of the Peruvian Andes (Fig. 1a) in order to elucidate the possible effects of intrinsic factors, such as morphometric properties of the drainage basins (mean slope, drainage area, stream lengths), and extrinsic properties (run-off and seismic activity) on sediment grain properties. Thus, we collected grain size data from gravel bars of each stream along the entire western Andean margin of Peru that derived from large basins over 700 km$^2$. Sampling sites were situated at the outlets of valleys close to the Pacific coast. This represents one of the largest grain size datasets that has ever been collected over areas that have experienced different tectonic and climatic conditions.

1.1 Geologic and tectonic setting

The study area is located at the transition from the Peruvian Andes to the coastal lowlands along a transect from the cities of Trujillo in the north (8°S) to Tacna in the south (18°S). In northern and central Peru, a flat, broad coastal forearc plain up to 100 km wide with Paleogene–Neogene and Quaternary sediments connects to the western Cordillera. This part of the western Cordillera consists of Cretaceous to late Miocene plutons of various compositions (diorite, but also tonalite, granite and granodiorite) that crop out over an almost continuous, 1600 km long arc that is referred to as the Coastal Batholith (e.g. Atherton, 1984; Mukasa, 1986; Haederle and Atherton, 2002; Fig. 1b). In southern Peru, the coastal plain gives way to the Coastal Cordillera that extends far into Chile. The western Cordillera comprises the central volcanic arc region of the Peruvian Andes with altitudes of up to 6768 m a.s.l., where currently active volcanoes south of 14°S of latitude are related to a steep slab subduction. Conversely, Cenozoic volcanoes in the central and northern Peruvian arc have been extinct since c. 11 Ma due to a flat slab subduction, which inhibited magma upwelling from the asthenosphere (Ramos, 2010).

The bedrock of the western Cordillera is dominated by Paleogene, Neogene and Quaternary volcanic rocks (mainly andesitic or dacitic tuffs and ignimbrites) originating from distinct phases of Cenozoic volcanic activity (Vidal, 1993). These rocks rest on Mesozoic and early Paleogene sedimentary rocks (Fig. 1b).

The tectonic conditions of the western Andes are characterized by strong N–S gradients in Quaternary uplift, seismicity and long-term subduction processes, which in turn seem controlled by a plethora of tectonic processes. The northern segment of the coastal Peruvian margin (i.e. to the north of 13°S latitude) hosts a coastal plain that shows little evidence for uplift, and the Nazca plate subducts at a low angle. Also in this region, the occurrence of large historical earthquakes at least along the coastal segment has been much less frequent (Fig. 2c). Only in northernmost Peru (4 to 6°S latitude) is uplift of the coastal area associated with subduction-induced earthquakes (Bourgois et al., 2007). Further south, the Cordillera Blanca area (around 12°S latitude) may have been uplifted due to upwelling of magma (McNulty and Farber, 2002). In particular, the coastal segment south of 13°S hosts raised Quaternary marine terraces (Reard et al., 2010), suggesting the occurrence of surface uplift at least during Quaternary times. Since the number and altitude of the terraces increases closer to the area where the Nazca ridge currently subducts, uplift of the coastal area in a radius of approximately 200 km around the ridge (roughly 12 to 14°S latitude) is attributed to ridge subduction (Sébrier et al., 1988; Machará and Orlieb, 1992). Between 15 and 18°S latitude, uplift is associated with bending of the Bolivian orocline (Noury et al., 2016). The area south of 12°S latitude is also the segment of the Andes in which the number of earthquakes with magnitudes > 4 has been larger relative to the segment farther north (Figs. 1 and 2c). In contrast, the northern segment of the coastal Peruvian margin (i.e. to the north of 13°S latitude) hosts a coastal plain that has been subsiding and the Nazca plate subducts at a low angle. Also in this region, the frequency of large historical earthquakes, at least along the coastal segment, has been much less (Fig. 2c).
Figure 1. (a) Map of the studied basins showing the sampling sites and the western escarpment (western escarpment modified after Trauerstein et al., 2013). The purple strip east of the trench axis corresponds to the swath over which the historical earthquake data, presented in Fig. 2. The map also illustrates the location of the buoyant Nazca ridge, depth of the slab as a dashed line, and patterns of earthquake occurrence. (b) Geological map of the western Peruvian Andes. (c) Map of the precipitation rates showing the spatial extent of the ITCZ, modified after Huffman et al. (2007).

1.2 Morphological setting

The local relief along the western Cordillera has been formed by deeply incising rivers that flow perpendicular to the strike of the Andes (Schildgen et al., 2007). The morphology of the longitudinal stream profiles is characterized by two segments separated by a distinct knickzone (Trauerstein et al., 2013). These geomorphic features have formed through headward retreat in response to a phase of enhanced surface uplift during the late Miocene (e.g. Schildgen et al., 2007). Upstream of these knickzones, the streams are mainly underlain by Cenozoic volcaniclastic rocks, while farther downstream incision has disclosed the Coastal Batholith and older metasedimentary units (Trauerstein et al., 2013). The upstream edges of these knickzones also delineate the upper boundary of the major sediment sources (Litty et al., 2017). Little to nearly zero clastic material is derived from the headwa-
Figure 2. Topography of the subducting Nazca plate, where slab depth data have been extracted from http://earthquake.usgs.gov/data/slab/modified from Reber et al. (2017). This N–S projection also illustrates (a) tectonic lineaments such as submarine ridges, MFZ: Mendaña fracture zone, NFZ: Nazca fracture zone, (b) Holocene volcanoes, (c) earthquake data taken from http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/search/, number of earthquakes with $M > 4$ within a 30 km radius window and (d) coastal elevation. The data have been extracted from a 20 km wide swath along the coast. The three lines represent maximum, mean and minimum elevations within the selected swath. (e) Catchment averaged denudation rates have been corrected for quartz contents (Reber et al., 2017). (f) Mean annual precipitation rates (Reber et al., 2017). (g) Mean annual water discharge (Reber et al., 2017). (h) Grain size results for the intermediate $b$ axis of the pebbles in the rivers from north to south at the sampling sites presented in Fig. 1. (i) Ratio between the intermediate axis and the long $a$ axis (modified after Reber et al., 2017). Exceptionally larger $D_{50}$ values of 4–6 cm were measured for basins situated between 11–12 and 16–17° S, where hillslope gradients are steeper than 0.4 on average (i.e. 20–22°) or where mean annual stream flows exceed the average values of the western Peruvian streams (10–40 m$^3$s$^{-1}$) by a factor of 2.
The Peruvian western margin shows an E–W contrasting precipitation pattern with high annual precipitation rates of up to 800 mm on the Altiplano and ca. 0 mm per year on the coast (Huffman et al., 2007; Fig. 1c in this paper). The precipitation associated with an orographic effect on the eastern side of the Andes (Boothe and Strecker, 2008). During austral summer (January) the centre of the ITCZ is located farther to the south, transferring the moisture from the Amazon tropical rain forest towards the Altiplano. Additionally, the Andes form an orographic barrier preventing Atlantic winds and moisture from reaching the Pacific coast is subjected to strong precipitation related to dry air masses from the subsiding branch of the Hadley cell that result in a more equatorial position of the ITCZ and in a high Be-based denudation rate (Reber et al., 2017) (m m s⁻¹) escarpment (km) site (m) (Reber et al., 2017)

### Table 1. Location of the sampling sites with the altitude in metres above sea level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>Latitude (DD WGS84)</th>
<th>Longitude (DD WGS84)</th>
<th>D90, D3, D1, b/n</th>
<th>Catchment area (km²)</th>
<th>Mean basin slope (°)</th>
<th>Slope at the sampling site (°)</th>
<th>Distance from the western escarpment (km)</th>
<th>Channel width at the sampling site (m)</th>
<th>Mean annual water discharge (m³ s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Shear stress (kg m⁻² s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Sediment flux (m² s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Denudation rates (mm ka⁻¹)</th>
<th>Denudation rate uncertainty (mm ka⁻¹)</th>
<th>Denudation rate corrected for Q2 content in bedrock (mm ka⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME1</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>-18.12</td>
<td>-70.33</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26.05</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME2</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>-17.82</td>
<td>-70.51</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME3</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>-17.29</td>
<td>-70.99</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME6</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>-17.03</td>
<td>-71.69</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME9</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>-16.51</td>
<td>-72.64</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME12</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>-16.42</td>
<td>-73.12</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME14</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>-15.85</td>
<td>-74.64</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME15</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-15.63</td>
<td>-74.64</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME16</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-15.37</td>
<td>-75.08</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME17</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>-14.37</td>
<td>-76.14</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME19</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>-13.12</td>
<td>-76.75</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME20</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-12.67</td>
<td>-76.65</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME22</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-12.25</td>
<td>-76.89</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME23</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>-11.61</td>
<td>-77.24</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME24</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-11.67</td>
<td>-77.22</td>
<td>16.75</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-11.72</td>
<td>-77.77</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-10.72</td>
<td>-78.18</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-10.07</td>
<td>-78.16</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME28</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-9.97</td>
<td>-78.62</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-ME30</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>-7.32</td>
<td>-79.48</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
al. (2007) as a basis. Reber et al. (2017; see their Table 3) corrected the theoretical values for water losses due to evaporation and irrigation using the gauging record of a minimum of 12 basins situated close to the Pacific Ocean. For these areas, hydrological data have been reported by the Sistema Nacional de Información de Recursos Hídricos (SNIRH, 2017). The hydrological data thus cover a time span of ca. 12 years. The results show a pattern in which mean annual run-off of these streams ranges between ca. 10 and 40 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$. Rivers in which mean annual run-off values are nearly 80 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ comprise the Rio Santa at ca. 9° S latitude (Fig. 1a), which derives its water from glaciers in the Cordillera Blanca. Two other streams with high discharge values are situated at 16–17° S (Rio Ocoña and Rio Camaña, Fig. 1a), where the corresponding headwaters spread over a relatively large area across the Altiplano, thereby collecting more rain than the other basins.

### 2 Site selection and methods

Sampling sites are situated in the main river valleys in the western Cordillera between 8 and 18° S latitude just before it gives way to the coastal margin. We selected 21 river basins larger than 700 km$^2$. We selected the downstream end of these rivers for simplicity and because this yields comparable conditions as the base level is the same for all streams. Sampling sites are all accessible along the Pan-American Highway (see Table 1 for the coordinates of the sampling sites). Additionally, the Majes basin (marked with red in Fig. 1a) has been sampled at five sites from upstream to downstream to explore the effects related to the sediment transport processes for a section across the mountain belt, but along the stream (Fig. 3, Table 2). The Majes basin has been chosen because of its easy accessibility in the upstream direction and because the morphology of this basin has been analysed in a previous study (Steffen et al., 2010).

At each sampling site, we randomly selected five longitudinal bars where we collected our grain size dataset. It has been shown that using a standard frame with fixed dimensions to assist gravel sampling reduces user-biased selections of gravels (Marcus et al., 1995; Bunte and Abt, 2001a). In order to reduce this bias, we substituted the frame by shooting an equal number of photos at a fixed distance (ca. 1 m) from the ground surface at each longitudinal bar. From an approximately 10 m$^2$ large area, 10 photos were taken to take potential spatial variabilities among the gravel bars into account. From those photos, the intermediate $b$ axis and the ratio of the $b$ axis and the long $a$ axis of around 500 randomly chosen pebbles were manually measured (Bunte and Abt, 2001b) and processed using the software program ImageJ (Rasband, 1997). Our sample population exceeds the minimum number of samples needed for statistically reliable estimations of grain size distributions in gravel bars (Howard, 1993; Rice and Church, 1998).

The pebbles were characterized on the basis of their median ($D_{50}$), the $D_{54}$ and the coarse ($D_{96}$) fractions. This means that 50, 84 and 96% of the sampled fraction is finer grained than the 50th, 84th and 96th percentiles of the samples. On a gravel bar, pebbles tend to lie with their short axis perpendicular to the surface, thus exposing their section that contains the $a$ and $b$ axes (Bunte and Abt, 2001b). However, the principal limitation is the inability to accurately measure the fine particles $<3$ mm (see also Whittaker et al., 2010). While we cannot resolve this problem with the techniques available, we do not expect that this adds a substantial bias in the grain size distributions reported here as their relative contributions to the point count results are minor (i.e. $<5\%$, based on visual inspection of the digital images).

Catchment-scale morphometric parameters and characteristics, including drainage area, mean slope angle for each catchment, slope angle of the stream channel at the sampling site and distances from the sampling sites to the upper edge of the western escarpment, were extracted from the 90 m resolution DEM Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM; Reuter et al., 2007).

Because grain size pattern largely depends on water shear stresses, we explored the possible correlations between water shear stresses and grain size distribution. We thus computed water shear stresses $\tau$ following Hancock and Anderson (2002) and Litty et al. (2016), in which:

$$\tau = 0.54 \rho g \left( \frac{Q}{W} \right)^{0.55} S^{0.93}. \quad (1)$$

Here, $\rho = 1000$ kg m$^{-3}$ is the water density, $g$ the gravitational acceleration, $Q$ (m$^3$ s$^{-1}$) the mean annual water
Table 2. Location of the sampling sites in the Majes basin and grain size results in the Majes basin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance from the coast (km)</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>Latitude (°, WGS84)</th>
<th>Longitude (°, WGS84)</th>
<th>D50</th>
<th>D84</th>
<th>D96</th>
<th>b/a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GS1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-16.51</td>
<td>-72.64</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-16.37</td>
<td>-72.49</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS3</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-16.28</td>
<td>-72.45</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS4</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-16.00</td>
<td>-72.48</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS5</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>-15.86</td>
<td>-72.45</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Grain size results along the Majes River.

3.2 The Majes basin

The D50 percentile of the b axis decreases from 6.2 cm to a value of 5.2 cm ca. 80 km farther downstream (Figs. 3 and 4 and Table 2). Likewise, the D84 decreases from 19 to 8.7 cm, and the D96 decreases from 31 to 11.6 cm (Fig. 4). Geomorphologists widely accept the notion that the downstream hydraulic geometry of alluvial channels reflects the decrease in particle size within an equilibrated system involving stream flow, channel gradient, sediment supply and transport (Hoey and Ferguson, 1994; Fedele and Paola, 2007; Attal and Lavé, 2009). Sternberg (1875) formalized these relations and predicted an exponential decline in particle size in gravel-bed rivers as a consequence of abrasion and selective transport where the gravel is transported downstream. The relation follows the form: \( D = D_0 e^{-\alpha x} \) (Sternberg, 1875). Here, the three percentiles follow an exponential fining decrease with the exponent \( \alpha \) ranging from 0.3 for the D96 to 0.1 for the D50 (Fig. 4).

3.3 Correlations between grain sizes and morphometric properties

Table 3 shows the Pearson correlation coefficients (r value) between the grain sizes, the morphometric parameters and the characteristics of the basins. As was expected, the D50, D84 and D96 all strongly correlate with each other.
Results of the statistical investigations, illustrated here as correlation matrix of the $r$ values.

Table 3. Results of the statistical investigations illustrated here as correlation matrix of the $r$ values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable 1</th>
<th>Distance from the western escarpment (km)</th>
<th>Denudation rates corrected for content in bedrock (mm ka$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Sediment flux (m$^3$ km$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Mean annual water discharge (m$^3$ km$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Mean basin slope (m m$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Catchment area (km$^2$)</th>
<th>$D_{50}$ (cm)</th>
<th>$D_{50}$ (cm)</th>
<th>$D_{50}$ (cm)</th>
<th>$D_{50}$ (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance from the western escarpment (km)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denudation rates corrected for content in bedrock (mm ka$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment flux (m$^3$ km$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual water discharge (m$^3$ km$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean basin slope (m m$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catchment area (km$^2$)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{50}$ (cm)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{50}$ (cm)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{50}$ (cm)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(0.73 < $r$ value < 0.93), but the $b/a$ ratios do not correlate with any of the three percentiles ($-0.1 < r$ value < 0.1). Likewise, inter-correlation relationships also exist among other variables such as catchment area, distance from the western escarpment, sediment flux and water discharge (Table 3). The $D_{50}$ values positively but weakly correlate with the sizes of the catchment area ($r$ value = 0.31), the distances from the western escarpment ($r$ value = 0.35), the mean annual shear stresses at the sampling site ($r$ value = 0.23), the denudation rates ($r$ value = 0.34) and the sediment fluxes ($r$ value = 0.42; Table 3). The $D_{50}$ and the $D_{96}$ values of the grain size data correlate positively with the mean annual shear stresses exerted by the water flux, with relative low $r$ value of 0.33 and 0.39 (Table 3).

On a broader scale, values of the $D_{50}$ are nearly constant between 2 and 3 cm (Table 3). The largest $D_{50}$ values of up to 6 cm are encountered in streams that are either sourced in the Cordillera Negra, where mean basin slope angles are larger than 20°, or in the Rio Ocoña and Rio Cam arena rivers located at 16–17° S, which have the largest mean annual discharge as they capture their waters from a broad area on the Altiplano.

The ratio of the intermediate axis over the long axis negatively correlates with the distance from the western escarpment ($r$ value = −0.33), albeit with a poor correlation, but a strong positive correlation is found with the mean slope angles of the basins ($r$ value = 0.63; Table 3).

4 Discussion

4.1 Slope angle controls on sphericity

The poor negative correlation of −0.33 between the sphericity of the pebbles and distance from the escarpment edge (Table 3) prevents us from inferring a distinct control of this variable. Conversely, the positive Pearson correlation of 0.63 between the sphericity of the pebbles and the mean basin slope is quite high (Table 3), thus pointing towards a significant control. This suggests that basins with steeper slopes produce rounder pebbles. We do not consider that this pattern is due to differences in exposed bedrock in the hinterland because the litho-tectonic architecture is fairly constant along the entire Peruvian margin (Fig. 1). We tentatively infer that timescales of transport and evacuation of material are likely to be shorter in steeper slopes compared to shallower ones. This might influence the shape of pebbles as they tend to flatten due to abrasion and 3-D heterogeneities of bedrock, which becomes more obvious with time and transport distance (Sneed and Folk, 1958). We thus see the positive correlation between mean basin hillslope angle and the sphericity of pebbles as a very likely consequence of shorter transport times in steeper basins, but we note that this hypothesis needs to be confirmed by detailed real-time surveys of material transport from sources down to the end of these rivers.
4.2 Controls on grain size

4.2.1 Downstream fining trends in the Majes basin indicate fluvial controls

In fluvial environments, the sorting of the sediment depends on the downstream distance from its source (Hoey and Ferguson, 1994; Kodoma, 1994; Paola and Seal, 1995). This is particularly the case for the Majes river, where we see an exponential downstream fining trend (Fig. 4). This is somewhat surprising because sufficiently voluminous sediment input from other sources may perturb any downstream fining trends in the grain size distribution (Rice and Church, 1998). Likewise, in the Majes basin, the sediment supply from the hillslopes to the trunk stream has occurred mainly through debris flow processes and landsliding (Steffen et al., 2010; Margirier et al., 2015). Thus, while the supply of hillslope-derived material is likely to have been accomplished by mass wasting processes, its imprint on grain size appears to be modified by the evacuation and the transport of this sediment down to the Pacific Ocean through fluvial transport.

4.2.2 Grain size and earthquake impact

Landslides and debris flows represent the main processes of hillslope erosion and the main sources of sediment in tectonically active orogens (Hovius et al., 1997; Korup and Weidinger, 2011). They are generally associated with triggers such as earthquakes or intense rainfall and generally supply coarse and voluminous sediments to the trunk rivers (Dadson et al., 2003; McPhillips et al., 2014). In that sense, we would expect a positive correlation between the frequency of large earthquakes and the grain size, in which an increase in earthquake frequency would induce an increase in landslides occurrence, thereby supplying coarser grained sediment from the hillslopes to the rivers. These relationships have been elaborated on in multiples studies in which positive relationships between landslide occurrence and the size of earthquakes have been documented (e.g. Keefer, 1984, 1994; Parker et al., 2011). We note that a global-scale correlation between earthquake magnitudes and areas affected by landslides suggests that mass movements are triggered by earthquakes if a threshold magnitude of 5.5 is exceeded (Keefer, 1984). Here, we consider earthquakes with magnitudes > 4.5 because Fig. 1 by Keefer (1984) suggests that earthquakes with magnitudes as low as 4.5 are theoretically able to release landslides over an area larger than 10 km². However, we do not see correlation between the number of recorded historical earthquakes larger than 4.5 $M_w$ and the grain size data (Fig. 2c). We then expect that the occurrence of earthquakes larger than 4.5 $M_w$, and related to this, the subduction mechanisms, do not exert a measurable control on the grain size in the rivers of the western Peruvian Andes.

4.2.3 Possible threshold limits as controls on the grain size pattern

The correlations between the grain size data and the basin-scale properties (basin area, mean basin denudation rates, water shear stresses, sediment fluxes) as rather weak and unconvincing (Table 3). However, we recall that the $D_{50}$ values record a nearly uniform pattern, with values that range between 2 and 3 cm along the studied western Peruvian margin. However, higher values of up to 6 cm are either measured in streams where mean slope angles of the bordering hillslopes in the upstream basin exceed 20° (between 11 and 12° S) or where water run-off values are nearly twice as large as the mean of all Peruvian streams (ranging between 10 and 40 m²s⁻¹ between 16 and 17° S; see Fig. 3 and Table 3). Based on these observations, we tentatively interpret a supply control on the median grain size for the Cordillera Negra streams where slopes mediate grain size through a threshold effect. In this case, these thresholds on the basin hillslope angles are likely to be conditioned by the at-yield mechanical states of bedrock (Montgomery, 2001; Ouimet et al., 2009), where hillslopes with dip angles up to 20–25° can be sustained. In these conditions, hillslopes approach a threshold at which slope angles are limited by the mechanical strength of bedrock (Montgomery, 2001; Schlunegger et al., 2013). Hillslope erosion is then mainly accomplished through mass failure processes, which dominate the supply of material to the trunk and are likely to supply more coarse-grained material to the trunk stream, as modern examples have shown (Bekaddour et al., 2013). In the same sense, a threshold response to steeper slopes has been interpreted for the pattern of $^{10}$Be-based denudation rates in the Andes (Reber et al., 2017) and in the Himalayas (Ouimet et al., 2009). In both cases, the relationships between denudation rates and mean basin slopes were considered to follow a non-linear diffusive mass transport model in which denudation rates are proportional to mean basin slopes for low gradients, while these relationships become non-linear for slopes approaching a critical value. Reber et al. (2017) set this critical value to 27.5°, but the linear relationship of their dataset breaks apart for gradients larger than 0.4, which corresponds to an angle of ca. 21°. We note, however, that a confirmation of this hypothesis requires data about the spatial density and frequency of landslide occurrence along the western Peruvian Andes. This dataset, however, is not available yet, and its establishment warrants further investigations.

In basins situated between 16 and 17° S, mean basin slopes are clearly below threshold conditions, but the $D_{50}$ values are twice as large as in neighbouring rivers. Interestingly, these streams have mean annual discharge values that are twice as large as the western Peruvian streams on average. Similar to the Cordillera Negra, we relate the relationships at 16–17° S to threshold controls. In this case, however, they are likely to be conditioned by transport. The mechanisms by which grain size can be mediated through a threshold effect upon trans-
port are less well understood, but it has been known at least since the engineering work by Shields (1936), and particularly by Meyer-Peter and Müller (1948), which has shown that threshold conditions have to be exceeded and have a control on transport of grains in fluvial streams. As a consequence, at transport-limited conditions, sediment flux, and most likely also the caliber of the transported material, depends on the frequency and the magnitudes at which these thresholds are exceeded rather than on a mean value of water discharge (Dadson et al., 2003). This might be the reason why values of water shear stresses, which are calculated here based on the annual mean of water flux, are not strongly correlated with the D$_{50}$ values (Table 3). However, the lack of information about discharge patterns prevents us from calculating the magnitude–frequency distribution of run-off. Nevertheless, we consider the occurrence of large peak floods for streams that capture a large portion of their waters on the Altiplano Plateau, as is the case for the Rio Ocoña and Rio Camaña. We thus tentatively assign large peak floods for these streams, which might explain the larger D$_{50}$ values encountered in their gravel bars. Although highly speculative, we support our statement with the highly seasonal character of precipitation occurrence, particularly on the eastern Andean margin and the Altiplano Plateau, which is largely conditioned by the monsoonal Andean jet (see above). We note, however, that this statement warrants a high-resolution hydrological dataset for the western Peruvian streams, which is not available.

An exception from these relationships is presented by the Rio Santa (Fig. 1a) where mean annual water discharge reaches a value of almost 80 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$, but where the size of the D$_{50}$ is low. We relate this to the possible supply-limited state of this stream, conditioned by the orogen-parallel valley of the Rio Santa between the Cordillera Blanca and the Cordillera Negra, which has acted as a subsiding graben for 5.4 Myr (Giovanni et al., 2010; Margirier et al., 2015) and which might thus have operated as a sediment trap. This interpretation is also consistent with the low $^{10}$Be-based catchment-averaged denudation rates measured for the Rio Santa basin, as noted by Reber et al. (2017).

Note that our inferences are largely based on the pattern of the D$_{50}$ and that the consideration of the larger percentiles might add alternative views of our interpretations. However, since all percentiles are intercorrelated, as suggested by the pattern of the Pearson correlation coefficients (Table 3), we think that our general conclusions about the occurrence of thresholds upon the supply and transport of sediment will not change. Note also that either transport or supply control and related thresholds were identified by Reber et al. (2017) for their explanation of the $^{10}$Be-based datasets on basin-averaged denudation rates in the western Peruvian Andes. We tentatively interpret that the grain size pattern of the Peruvian streams follows these lines.

5 Conclusions

We present a complete dataset about grain sizes for all major rivers that are situated on the western Andean margin of Peru. We did not find any correlations to the current seismic regimes, in which a larger occurrence of earthquakes with magnitudes larger than 4.5 $M_w$ is expected to increase the supply of coarse-grained material. However, we found that the values for the D$_{50}$ are nearly constant and range between 2 and 3 cm. Exceptionally larger D$_{50}$ values of 4–6 cm were measured for basins situated between 11–12° S and 16–17° S where hillslope gradients are steeper than average (i.e. 20–22°), or where mean annual stream flows exceed the average values of the western Peruvian streams (10–40 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$) by a factor of 2. We suggest that the generally uniform grain size pattern has been perturbed where either mean basin slopes or water fluxes exceed threshold conditions upon the supply and the transport of material. This might have implications for our understanding of the controls on the grain size distribution of gravel-based streams.
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